



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/818,097	03/26/2001	Stepan Sokolov	SUN1P815/P5613	2836

22434 7590 05/09/2005  
BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS LLP  
P.O. BOX 70250  
OAKLAND, CA 94612-0250

EXAMINER
----------

STEELMAN, MARY J

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2191

DATE MAILED: 05/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

47

## Interview Summary

Application No.

09/818,097

Applicant(s)

SOKOLOV ET AL

Examiner

Mary J. Steelman

Art Unit

2191

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Mary J. Steelman.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) R. Mahboubian, Reg. No. 44,890.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 03 May 2005.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: US Patent 6738977 B1 to Berry et al., US Patent 6339841 B1 to Merrick et al.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant proposed an amendment. Discussion based on whether "a method reference portion for said selected information to be loaded into the virtual machine..." is suggested by Merrick's disclosure (col. 2, lines 33-34) "The class meta data comprises a class description component, a method table and a constant pool.", (col.32, lines 66-67) "From the class metadata is constructed a class description table, a constant pool and a method tabel", (col. 4, lines 10-12) "The method table comprises the names of the methods...and links to the methods...". Merrick only loads selective method components. Prior to next Office Action, Examiner will consult with another regarding prior art disclosure .